DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 480 095

AUTHOR MacPhee, David; Miller-Heyl, Jan

TITLE Parent Self-Efficacy Mediates the Impact of Family Intervention.

PUB DATE 2003-08-00

NOTE 7p.; Poster presented at the Annual Conference of the American

Psychological Association (111th, Toronto, ON, Canada, August 7-10,

2003).

PUB TYPE Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE EDRS Price MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Behavior Problems; *Child Rearing; Counseling Effectiveness; *Family

Counseling; Parent Child Relationship; *Parent Role; *Parenting

Skills; *Self Efficacy; Youth

IDENTIFIERS *Parental Efficacy

ABSTRACT

Self-appraisals are thought to play an important role in a number of youth problem behaviors. Self-perceived competence in the parental role may be an important mediator of family interventions. The purpose of the study described was to determine whether parental self-efficacy is causally related to effective child rearing. To do so, the authors analyzed data from several large-sample trials of a family intervention that focused on improving parent and child self-appraisals, among other outcomes. In both trials, parent self-efficacy increased significantly. Both punishment and coercive interactions declined. The role of self-efficacy as a mediator was examined through regression analyses. Baseline self-efficacy was not a significant predictor of changes in child rearing, but the baseline child rearing measure was. Across both trials and all outcome measures, changes in self-efficacy accounted for significant variance in improved child rearing. Thus, the intervention resulted in improved self-efficacy, and such changes explained improved parenting skills. (GCP)



Parent Self-Efficacy Mediates the Impact of Family Intervention

David MacPhee & Jan Miller-Heyl

Colorado State University

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent officiat OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Poster presented at the annual meeting of the American Psychological Association, Toronto, August 2003

MacPhee: Department of Human Development & Family Studies, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523. macphee@cahs.colostate.edu. Miller-Heyl: DARE to be You Program, CSU Cooperative Extension, 136 Aylesworth Hall NW, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523. darecort@coop.ext.colostate.edu.

This research was supported by grants from the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention.



Self-appraisals are thought to play an important role in a number of youth problem behaviors (Meggert, 1996), although much of this literature is correlational. A recent meta-analysis of 116 intervention studies found that programs focused on modifying self-esteem were more effective in altering problem behaviors or academic skills, as compared to interventions without an emphasis on self-appraisals (Haney & Durlak, 1998). Yet some skeptics assert that such an emphasis is ultimately harmful because it promotes narcissism at the expense of family health (Burr & Christensen, 1992). Some of the confusion about the role of self-appraisals is conceptual: Self-esteem has less to do with selfishness than with affective judgments about one's worth whereas self-efficacy, which is the focus of our study, is a construct grounded in mastery.

Self-perceived competence in the parental role may be an important mediator of family interventions: It correlates with concurrent measures of child-rearing practices (MacPhee et al., 1996), regardless of culture, and predicts changes in parenting skill (Spoth et al., 1995). Our purpose was to determine whether parent self-efficacy is causally related to effective child rearing. To do so, we analyzed data from several large-sample trials of a family intervention that focused on improving parent and child self-appraisals, among other outcomes.

The initial demonstration project included 363 at-risk families who were recruited into the DARE to be You program (Miller-Heyl et al., 2001), assigned at random to intervention and control groups, and completed follow-up assessments one year later. The replication trial included 258 families who also were assigned at random and completed one-year follow-ups. Attrition was less than 10%. The two trials were implemented at sites differing in population density and ethnicity, and with different staff. The same curriculum was followed, which involved 24 hours of workshops (over 12 weeks) that included many experiential and discussion-based exercises related to self-appraisals, communication, discipline, and decision making. It is



important to note that there was less fidelity to protocol in the replication trial, which was both a blessing – it allowed a better test of the mediational power of self-efficacy because treatment effects were less evident – and a curse, because weaker main effects on parenting skills were observed.

Across both samples, the mothers had a mean age of 30 years, and had 12.6 years of education. Their preschool children were 3.83 years old on average. Annual income was lower in the initial demonstration project (\$14,500) as compared to the replication that began 6 years later (\$21,000). Ethnicity differed in the two projects as well: 29% Native American in CSAP1 v. 60% in CSAP2; and 45% Anglo in CSAP1 v. 17% in CSAP2. Hispanic families constituted 22% of each sample.

Parent self-efficacy was measured with the Self-Perceptions of the Parental Role scale (MacPhee, Benson, & Bullock, 1986), a 6-item scale with good evidence for its validity and sound reliabilities ($\alpha > .80$). Child-rearing practices were measured with several self-report measures and responses to vignettes; we focus on the Limit Setting scale from the Parent-Child Relationship Inventory (Gerard, 1994) and a set of items related to frequency of punishment and criticism ($\alpha > .75$). The other outcome measure for this study was a 10-item measure of coercive parent-child interactions ($\alpha = .85$).

First, we examined the main effects of the intervention. In both trials, parent self-efficacy increased significantly (ES = .49 in Tr1, p < .001; ES = .30 in Tr2, p = .07); effective limit setting did in the demonstration trial (ES = .59; p < .001) but not the replication (ES = .26). Both punishment (ES = .47 in Tr1, p < .0001; ES = .33 in Tr2, p < .05) and coercive interactions (ES = .34 in Tr1; ES = .35 in Tr2; p < .05) declined. The role of self-efficacy as a mediator was examined through regression analyses (see Table 1). In the first step, the baseline measures of



self-efficacy and parenting (e.g., limit setting; punishment; coercion) were entered, followed by treatment group and then *change* in self-efficacy. Regardless of trial and outcome measure, baseline self-efficacy was not a significant predictor of changes in child rearing but the baseline child rearing measure was. Consistent with the repeated measures MANOVAs above, treatment condition was highly significant in the demonstration trial but was a less powerful predictor of change in the replication. Across both trials and all outcome measures, changes in self-efficacy accounted for significant variance in improved child rearing (5-14% of the variance; p < .0001), even after accounting for exposure to the DTBY program. Thus, the intervention resulted in improved self-efficacy, and such changes explained improved parenting skills.

A notable, trial-specific effect was observed: The unique effect of improved self-efficacy was observed only in the treatment group in the demonstration trial, but was observed in both groups in the (weaker) replication trial. Again, this points to a central role of self-efficacy because even when the intervention had minimal main effects on child rearing, improved self-appraisals did predict better parenting skills. Such findings reinforce the importance of family interventions attending to self-appraisal processes (Haney & Durlak, 1998), which might work because depression is buffered and persistence at the difficult task of parenting is nurtured.

However, in another DTBY trial with a sample of teen mothers, little impact was observed on self-efficacy or child-rearing practices. More importantly, changes in self-efficacy were generally *not* related to improved parenting skills. Using structural equation modeling, we found that problematic child behavior and maternal distress were directly related to hostile parenting — not mediated by parent self-efficacy. Thus, for some high-risk parents, intervention should focus on basic skills of behavior management and emotion regulation, with less emphasis on promoting self-efficacy.



References

- Burr, W., R., & Christensen, C. (1992). Undesirable side effects of enhancing self-esteem. Family Relations, 41, 460-464.
- Gerard, A. B. (1994). *The Parent-Child Relationship Inventory: Manual*. Los Angeles: Western Psychological Services.
- Haney, P., & Durlak, J. A. (1998). Changing self-esteem in children and adolescents: A meta-analytic review. *Journal of Clinical Child Psychology*, 27, 423-433.
- MacPhee, D., Benson, J.B., & Bullock, D. (1986, April). *Influences on maternal self-perceptions*. Paper presented at the biennial International Conference on Infant Studies, Los Angeles.
- MacPhee, D., Fritz, J. J., & Miller-Heyl, J. (1996). Ethnic variations in personal social networks and parenting. *Child Development*, 67, 3278-3295.
- Meggert, S. S. (1996). Who cares what I think: Problems of low self-esteem. In D. Capuzzi & D. R. Douglas (Eds.), Youth at risk: A prevention resource for counselors, teachers, and parents (pp. 81-103). New York: Wiley.
- Miller-Heyl, J., MacPhee, D., & Fritz, J. J. (2001). DARE to be You: A systems approach to the early prevention of problem behaviors. New York: Kluwer/Plenum.
- Spoth, R., Redmond, C., Haggerty, K., & Ward, T. (1995). A controlled parenting skills outcome study examining individual difference and attendance effects. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 57, 449-464.



Table 1
Improved Parent Self-Efficacy Predicts Better Parenting Skills

Predictor	Harsh Punishment	ment	Limit Settin	Limit Setting Coercion		
	β	\mathbb{R}^2	β	\mathbb{R}^2	β	\mathbb{R}^2
			CSAP1			
Step 1 (Baseline)	Š	.26	•	.19	Ç	.22
Parent self-efficacy Parenting measure	06 54***		.02 44**		08 49***	
Step 2		.31		.26		.24
Treatment group Sten 3	.21**	36	28**	31	.13	28
Δ in self-efficacy	31***		.27***		24***	9
			CSAP2			
Step 1 (Baseline)		.34		.30		.30
Parent self-efficacy	.02		.14**		05	
Parenting measure	58***		59***		57***	
Step 2		.35		.30		.30
Treatment group	.10**		02		.08	
Step 3	÷	.41		.41		.36
Δ in self-efficacy	26***		.38* **		26***	





U.S. Department of Education



Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI) National Library of Education (NLE) Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)

REPRODUCTION RELEASE

	(Specific Document)	
I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:		
Title: Parent Self-Efficacy Mediate	es the Impact of Family Interven	ntion
Author(s): David MacPhee & Jan	Miller-Heyl	
Corporate Source: Colorado State University Fort Collins, CO 80523		Publication Date: Augus t 2003
II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:		
abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Edmedia, and sold through the ERIC Document Reprogranted, one of the following notices is affixed to each	nely and significant materials of interest to the educatio iducation (RIE), are usually made available to users induction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source document. minate the identified documents, please CHECK ONE	n microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic ce of each document, and, if reproduction release is
The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
David MacPhee Jan Miller- Heyl		
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
1	2A	2B
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.	Level 2A Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only
Docum	nents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality pe eproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be proce	
as indicated above. Reproduction from the	rces Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permiss the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons oth holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction onse to discrete inquiries.	her than ERIC employees and its system contractors
Sign here, > Mand man here	Printed Name/Pr David M	Position/Title: MacPhee, Ph.D. Professor



please

Organization/Address: Deptraof Human Development & Family Studies

Colorado State University

Fort Collins, CO 80523

macpheeocahs.colostate.edu

1970-4<u>91-5503</u>

10/1/03

570-491-7975

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, *or*, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of these documents from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of these documents. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:	
Address:	
7.001.000.	
	<u> </u>
Price:	
V. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPY	RIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:
the right to grant this reproduction release is held by ddress:	someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and
Name:	
Address:	
V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:	
Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:	FRIC Counseling & Student Services
cond and form to the fenerality Little closing rouse.	University of North Carolina at Greensboro
	201 Ferguson Building
	PO Box 26171 Greensboro, NC 27402-6171
	3.702.01.1

